

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 APTUS HEALTH, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOHN DOE 1 and JOHN DOE 2,

12 Defendants.  
13

NO. C18-1351RSL


ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY

14 This matter comes before the Court on “Plaintiff Aptus Health, Inc.’s *Ex Parte* Amended  
15 Motion for Leave to Conduct Expedited Discovery.” Dkt. # 7 “[W]here the identity of alleged  
16 defendants will not be known prior to the filing of a complaint[,] . . . the plaintiff should be  
17 given an opportunity through discovery to identify the unknown defendants, unless it is clear  
18 that discovery would not uncover the identities, or that the complaint would be dismissed on  
19 other grounds.” Soo Park v. Thompson, 851 F.3d 910, 928 (9th Cir. 2017) (quoting Gillespie v.  
20 Civiletti, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980)). Having reviewed the allegations of the amended  
21 complaint (Dkt. # 6), the Court finds that plaintiff has sufficiently pled a Computer Fraud and  
22 Abuse Act claim and is unable to identify the Doe defendants without taking discovery from  
23 Amazon. Plaintiff’s renewed motion for expedited discovery is therefore GRANTED. Plaintiff  
24 may serve one or more subpoenas on Amazon under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 seeking information  
25  
26  
27  
28

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY - 1

1 regarding the identity and/or contact information of persons who redeemed the allegedly stolen  
2 Amazon gift codes.  
3

4 Dated this 10th day of January, 2019.

5 

6 Robert S. Lasnik  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27